

#### **Applications of Integration**





#### Applications to Physics and Engineering

Among the many applications of integral calculus to physics and engineering, we consider three: work, force due to water pressure, and centers of mass.

The term *work* is used in everyday language to mean the total amount of effort required to perform a task.

In physics it has a technical meaning that depends on the idea of a *force*.

Intuitively, you can think of a force as describing a push or pull on an object—for example, a horizontal push of a book across a table or the downward pull of the earth's gravity on a ball.

In general, if an object moves along a straight line with position function s(t), then the **force** F on the object (in the same direction) is defined by Newton's Second Law of Motion as the product of its mass m and its acceleration:

$$\mathbf{1} \qquad F = m \frac{d^2 s}{dt^2}$$

In the SI metric system, the mass is measured in kilograms (kg), the displacement in meters (m), the time in seconds (s), and the force in newtons (N = kg  $\cdot$  m/s<sup>2</sup>).

Thus a force of 1 N acting on a mass of 1 kg produces an acceleration of 1 m/s<sup>2</sup>. In the US Customary system the fundamental unit is chosen to be the unit of force, which is the pound.

In the case of constant acceleration, the force F is also constant and the work done is defined to be the product of the force F and the distance d that the object moves:

$$W = Fd$$
 work = force × distance

If *F* is measured in newtons and *d* in meters, then the unit for *W* is a newton-meter, which is called a joule (J). If *F* is measured in pounds and *d* in feet, then the unit for *W* is a foot-pound (ft-lb), which is about 1.36 J.

For instance, suppose you lift a 1.2-kg book off the floor to put it on a desk that is 0.7 m high. The force you exert is equal and opposite to that exerted by gravity, so Equation 1 gives

$$F = mg = (1.2)(9.8) = 11.76$$
 N

and then Equation 2 gives the work done as

$$W = Fd = (11.76)(0.7) \approx 8.2 \text{ J}$$

But if a 20-lb weight is lifted 6 ft off the ground, then the force is given as F = 20 lb, so the work done is

$$W = Fd = 20 \cdot 6 = 120$$
 ft-lb

Here we didn't multiply by *g* because we were given the *weight* (a force) and not the mass.

Equation 2 defines work as long as the force is constant, but what happens if the force is variable?

Let's suppose that the object moves along the *x*-axis in the positive direction, from x = a to x = b, and at each point x between a and b a force f(x) acts on the object, where f is a continuous function.

We divide the interval [*a*, *b*] into *n* subintervals with endpoints  $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n$  and equal width  $\Delta x$ .

We choose a sample point  $x_i^*$  in the *i*th subinterval  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ . Then the force at that point is  $f(x_i^*)$ .

If *n* is large, then  $\Delta x$  is small, and since *f* is continuous, the values of *f* don't change very much over the interval  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ .

In other words, *f* is almost constant on the interval and so the work  $W_i$  that is done in moving the particle from  $x_{i-1}$  to  $x_i$ is approximately given by Equation 2:

 $W_i \approx f(\boldsymbol{x}_i^*) \ \Delta \boldsymbol{x}$ 

Thus we can approximate the total work by

3 
$$W \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i^*) \Delta x$$

It seems that this approximation becomes better as we make *n* larger. Therefore we define the **work done in moving the object from** *a* **to** *b* **as the limit of this quantity as n \rightarrow \infty. Since the right side of (3) is a Riemann sum, we recognize its limit as being a definite integral and so** 

$$W = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i^*) \Delta x = \int_a^b f(x) \, dx$$



#### Example 1 – Work Done by a Variable Force

When a particle is located a distance x feet from the origin, a force of  $x^2 + 2x$  pounds acts on it. How much work is done in moving it from x = 1 to x = 3?

Solution:

$$W = \int_{1}^{3} (x^{2} + 2x) dx = \frac{x^{3}}{3} + x^{2} \bigg]_{1}^{3}$$
$$= \frac{50}{3}$$

The work done is  $16\frac{2}{3}$  ft-lb.

**Hooke's Law** states that the force required to maintain a spring stretched *x* units beyond its natural length is proportional to *x*:

$$f(x) = kx$$

where *k* is a positive constant (called the **spring constant**). Hooke's Law holds provided that *x* is not too large (see Figure 1).



Figure 1 Hooke's Law

Deep-sea divers realize that water pressure increases as they dive deeper. This is because the weight of the water above them increases.

In general, suppose that a thin horizontal plate with area A square meters is submerged in a fluid of density  $\rho$  kilograms per cubic meter at a depth d meters below the surface of the fluid as in Figure 5.



The fluid directly above the plate has volume V = Ad, so its mass is  $m = \rho V = \rho Ad$ . The force exerted by the fluid on the plate is therefore

$$F = mg = \rho gAd$$

where *g* is the acceleration due to gravity. The pressure *P* on the plate is defined to be the force per unit area:

$$P = \frac{F}{A} = \rho g d$$

The SI unit for measuring pressure is newtons per square meter, which is called a pascal (abbreviation:  $1 \text{ N/m}^2 = 1 \text{ Pa}$ ). Since this is a small unit, the kilopascal (kPa) is often used.

For instance, because the density of water is  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>, the pressure at the bottom of a swimming pool 2 m deep is

 $P = \rho g d = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3 \times 9.8 \text{ m/s}^2 \times 2 \text{ m}$ = 19,600 Pa = 19.6 kPa

An important principle of fluid pressure is the experimentally verified fact that *at any point in a liquid the pressure is the same in all directions.* (A diver feels the same pressure on nose and both ears.)

Thus the pressure in *any* direction at a depth *d* in a fluid with mass density  $\rho$  is given by

**5** 
$$P = \rho g d = \delta d$$

This helps us determine the hydrostatic force against a *vertical* plate or wall or dam in a fluid.

This is not a straightforward problem because the pressure is not constant but increases as the depth increases.

#### Example 5 – Hydrostatic Force on a Dam

A dam has the shape of the trapezoid shown in Figure 6. The height is 20 m and the width is 50 m at the top and 30 m at the bottom. Find the force on the dam due to hydrostatic pressure if the water level is 4 m from the top of the dam.



We choose a vertical x-axis with origin at the surface of the water as in Figure 7(a).





The depth of the water is 16 m, so we divide the interval [0, 16] into subintervals of equal length with endpoints  $x_i$  and we choose  $x_i^* \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ .

The *i*th horizontal strip of the dam is approximated by a rectangle with height  $\Delta x$  and width  $w_i$ , where, from similar triangles in Figure 7(b),

$$\frac{a}{16 - x_i^*} = \frac{10}{20}$$

or

$$a = \frac{16 - x_i^*}{2} = 8 - \frac{x_i^*}{2}$$

and so

$$w_i = 2(15 + a)$$
  
= 2(15 + 8 -  $\frac{1}{2}x_i^*)$   
= 46 -  $x_i^*$ 



Figure 7(b)

If  $A_i$  is the area of the *i*th strip, then

$$A_i \approx W_i \Delta x$$
  
= (46 -  $x_i^*$ )  $\Delta x$ 

If  $\Delta x$  is small, then the pressure  $P_i$  on the *i*th strip is almost constant and we can use Equation 5 to write

 $P_i \approx 1000 g x_i^*$ 

The hydrostatic force  $F_i$  acting on the *i*th strip is the product of the pressure and the area:

$$F_i = P_i A_i$$
  

$$\approx 1000 g x_i^* (46 - x_i^*) \Delta x$$

Adding these forces and taking the limit as  $n \to \infty$ , we obtain the total hydrostatic force on the dam:

$$F = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1000gx_i^* (46 - x_i^*) \Delta x$$
$$= \int_0^{16} 1000gx (46 - x) dx$$
$$= 1000(9.8) \int_0^{16} (46x - x^2) dx$$
$$= 9800 \left[ 23x^2 - \frac{x^3}{3} \right]_0^{16}$$
$$\approx 4.43 \times 10^7 \,\mathrm{N}$$

Our main objective here is to find the point *P* on which a thin plate of any given shape balances horizontally as in Figure 8.

This point is called the **center of mass** (or center of gravity) of the plate.



We first consider the simpler situation illustrated in Figure 9, where two masses  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are attached to a rod of negligible mass on opposite sides of a fulcrum and at distances  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  from the fulcrum.



The rod will balance if

**6** 
$$m_1 d_1 = m_2 d_2$$

This is an experimental fact discovered by Archimedes and called the Law of the Lever. (Think of a lighter person balancing a heavier one on a seesaw by sitting farther away from the center.)

Now suppose that the rod lies along the *x*-axis with  $m_1$  at  $x_1$  and  $m_2$  at  $x_2$  and the center of mass at  $\overline{x}$ .

If we compare Figures 9 and 10, we see that  $d_1 = \overline{x} - x_1$  and  $d_2 = x_2 - \overline{x}$  and so Equation 6 gives

$$m_{1}(\bar{x} - x_{1}) = m_{2}(x_{2} - \bar{x})$$

$$m_{1}\bar{x} + m_{2}\bar{x} = m_{1}x_{1} + m_{2}x_{2}$$

$$m_{1} - m_{2} - m_{1} - m_{2}$$

$$m_{1} - m_{2} - m_{2} - m_{1} - m_{2}$$
fulcrum
Figure 9



The numbers  $m_1x_1$  and  $m_2x_2$  are called the **moments** of the masses  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  (with respect to the origin), and Equation 7 says that the center of mass  $\overline{x}$  is obtained by adding the moments of the masses and dividing by the total mass  $m = m_1 + m_2$ .

In general, if we have a system of *n* particles with masses  $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n$  located at the points  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  on the *x*-axis, it can be shown similarly that the center of mass of the system is located at

8  
$$\overline{x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i x_i}{m}$$

where  $m = \Sigma m_i$  is the total mass of the system, and the sum of the individual moments

$$M=\sum_{i=1}^n m_i x_i$$

is called the moment of the system about the origin.

Then Equation 8 could be rewritten as  $m\overline{x} = M$ , which says that if the total mass were considered as being concentrated at the center of mass  $\overline{x}$ , then its moment would be the same as the moment of the system.

Now we consider a system of *n* particles with masses  $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n$  located at the points  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$ in the *xy*-plane as shown in Figure 11.





By analogy with the one-dimensional case, we define the **moment of the system about the** *y***-axis** to be

$$\mathbf{9} \qquad \qquad M_y = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i x_i$$

and the moment of the system about the x-axis as

$$M_x = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i y_i$$

Then  $M_y$  measures the tendency of the system to rotate about the y-axis and  $M_x$  measures the tendency to rotate about the x-axis.

As in the one-dimensional case, the coordinates  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  of the center of mass are given in terms of the moments by the formulas

**11** 
$$\overline{x} = \frac{M_y}{m}$$
  $\overline{y} = \frac{M_x}{m}$ 

where  $m = \Sigma m_i$  is the total mass. Since  $m \overline{x} = M_y$  and  $m \overline{y} = M_x$ , the center of mass  $(\overline{x}, \overline{y})$  is the point where a single particle of mass *m* would have the same moments as the system.

# Example 6

Find the moments and center of mass of the system of objects that have masses 3, 4, and 8 at the points (-1, 1), (2, -1), and (3, 2).

#### Solution:

We use Equations 9 and 10 to compute the moments:

$$M_y = 3(-1) + 4(2) + 8(3) = 29$$
$$M_x = 3(1) + 4(-1) + 8(2) = 15$$

Since m = 3 + 4 + 8 = 15, we use Equations 11 to obtain

$$\overline{x} = \frac{M_y}{m} \qquad \overline{y} = \frac{M_x}{m}$$
$$= \frac{29}{15} \qquad = \frac{15}{15} = 1$$

Thus the center of mass is  $(1\frac{14}{15}, 1)$ . (See Figure 12.)



Figure 12

Next we consider a flat plate (called a *lamina*) with uniform density  $\rho$  that occupies a region  $\Re$  of the plane.

We wish to locate the center of mass of the plate, which is called the **centroid** of  $\Re$ .

In doing so we use the following physical principles: The **symmetry principle** says that if  $\Re$  is symmetric about a line *I*, then the centroid of  $\Re$  lies on *I*. (If  $\Re$  is reflected about *I*, then  $\Re$  remains the same so its centroid remains fixed. But the only fixed points lie on *I*.)

Thus the centroid of a rectangle is its center.

Moments should be defined so that if the entire mass of a region is concentrated at the center of mass, then its moments remain unchanged.

Also, the moment of the union of two nonoverlapping regions should be the sum of the moments of the individual regions.

Suppose that the region  $\Re$  is of the type shown in Figure 13(a); that is,  $\Re$  lies between the lines x = a and x = b, above the *x*-axis, and beneath the graph of *f*, where *f* is a continuous function.



We divide the interval [*a*, *b*] into *n* subintervals with endpoints  $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n$  and equal width  $\Delta x$ . We choose the sample point  $x_i^*$  to be the midpoint  $\overline{x}_i$  of the *i*th subinterval, that is,  $\overline{x}_i = (x_{i-1} + x_i)/2$ .

This determines the polygonal approximation to  $\Re$  shown in Figure 13(b).



The centroid of the *i*th approximating rectangle  $R_i$  is its center  $C_i(\overline{x}_i, \frac{1}{2}f(\overline{x}_i))$ . Its area is  $f(\overline{x}_i) \Delta x$ , so its mass is

 $\rho f(\overline{x}_i) \Delta x$ 

The moment of  $R_i$  about the *y*-axis is the product of its mass and the distance from  $C_i$  to the *y*-axis, which is  $\overline{x}_i$ . Thus

$$M_{y}(R_{i}) = \left[\rho f(\overline{x}_{i}) \Delta x\right] \overline{x}_{i} = \rho \overline{x}_{i} f(\overline{x}_{i}) \Delta x$$

Adding these moments, we obtain the moment of the polygonal approximation to  $\Re$ , and then by taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain the moment of  $\Re$  itself about the *y*-axis:

$$M_{y} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho \overline{x}_{i} f(\overline{x}_{i}) \Delta x = \rho \int_{a}^{b} x f(x) dx$$

In a similar fashion we compute the moment of  $R_i$  about the *x*-axis as the product of its mass and the distance from  $C_i$  to the *x*-axis:

$$M_x(R_i) = \left[\rho f(\overline{x}_i) \Delta x\right] \frac{1}{2} f(\overline{x}_i) = \rho \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left[f(\overline{x}_i)\right]^2 \Delta x$$

Again we add these moments and take the limit to obtain the moment of  $\Re$  about the *x*-axis:

$$M_x = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \rho \cdot \frac{1}{2} [f(\overline{x}_i)]^2 \Delta x = \rho \int_a^b \frac{1}{2} [f(x)]^2 dx$$

Just as for systems of particles, the center of mass of the plate is defined so that  $m\overline{x} = M_y$  and  $m\overline{y} = M_x$ . But the mass of the plate is the product of its density and its area:

$$m = \rho A = \rho \int_a^b f(x) \, dx$$

and so

$$\overline{x} = \frac{M_y}{m} = \frac{\rho \int_a^b x f(x) \, dx}{\rho \int_a^b f(x) \, dx} = \frac{\int_a^b x f(x) \, dx}{\int_a^b f(x) \, dx}$$
$$\overline{y} = \frac{M_x}{m} = \frac{\rho \int_a^b \frac{1}{2} [f(x)]^2 \, dx}{\rho \int_a^b f(x) \, dx} = \frac{\int_a^b \frac{1}{2} [f(x)]^2 \, dx}{\int_a^b f(x) \, dx}$$

Notice the cancellation of the  $\rho$ 's. The location of the center of mass is independent of the density.

In summary, the center of mass of the plate (or the centroid of  $\Re$ ) is located at the point  $(\overline{x}, \overline{y})$ , where

12

$$\overline{x} = \frac{1}{A} \int_a^b x f(x) \, dx \qquad \overline{y} = \frac{1}{A} \int_a^b \frac{1}{2} [f(x)]^2 \, dx$$