## **OFFICE OF PROCUREMENT**

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL TITLE: NEW CAMPUS FEASIBILITY STUDY

**RFP NUMBER: E921-003** 

RFP CLOSING DATE: AUGUST 13, 2020 @ 3:00 PM



## **ADDENDUM #2**

Issued: August 11, 2020

Date

ADDENDUM PURPOSE:	
<ul> <li>To provide the attached question and answer.</li> </ul>	
All other specifications, terms and conditions remain uncha	anged.
	Tad
	rick Johnson, MBA ector of Procurement
Please <b>sign</b> below to acknowledge receipt of this Addendur to return this Acknowledgement of Addendum may deem	• •
NOTE: All proposals MUST BE RECEIVED electronically (NE August 13, 2020.	:W) by 3:00pm Eastern Standard Time on
<b>REMINDER:</b> Electronic proposal and addendum or addendation or prior to the submittal deadline date and time: vendo	<del>-</del>
Company Name Authorized	 I Signature

Printed/Typed Signature

## OFFICE OF PROCUREMENT REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL TITLE: NEW CAMPUS FEASIBILITY STUDY RFP NUMBER: E921-003

RFP CLOSING DATE: AUGUST 13, 2020 @ 3:00 PM

Vendor Question	Montgomery College Response
Page 6 of the Q&A (Addendum #1) describes the level of	As this is an RFP for a campus feasibility study,
detail required for site plans. If site plans are to be	Montgomery College would not be in a position to
included under Deliverable 3: Buildout Scenario, is the	negotiate, nor enter into any type agreement with
expectation that this plan and buildout scenario will be	a potential landowner. The buildout sought is a
conducted for a single selected site, based on the	"scenario," not a plan and would be based on the
Deliverables 2 recommendation? If so, does the College	planning directions developed through the previous
expect some form of landowner negotiations to begin	Deliverables.
between Deliverables 2 and 3, such as a non-binding	
letter of intent?	